

Global Approximation Theorems for Some Exponential-type Operators

KUNIO SATÔ

*Department of Basic Technology, Yamagata University,
Yonezawa, Yamagata, Japan*

Communicated by P. L. Butzer

Received September 18, 1979

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f(x)$ be a continuous real-valued function on the interval $D := [A, B] \cap (-\infty, \infty)$, in symbols: $f \in C[A, B]$. We use the following notation:

$$\Delta_h^2 f(x) = f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h) \quad (x \in D_h),$$

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \in D} |f(x)|,$$

$$\omega_2(f; \delta) = \sup_{0 < h < \delta} \sup_{x \in D_h} |\Delta_h^2 f(x)|,$$

$$\text{Lip}_2 \alpha = \{f \in C[A, B]; \omega_2(f; \delta) = O(\delta^\alpha), \delta \rightarrow 0_+\},$$

where $D_h := [A+h, B-h] \cap (-\infty, \infty)$.

Let us consider the operators

$$L_n(f; x) = \int_A^B W(n, x, u) f(u) du \quad (n \geq 1),$$

where $W(n, x, u) \geq 0$ is a function on D . We say that $L_n(f; x)$ is an exponential-type operator if

$$\int_A^B W(n, x, u) du = 1 \tag{1}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} W(n, x, u) = \frac{n}{\phi(x)} W(n, x, u)(u-x), \tag{2}$$

where $\phi(x)$ is a polynomial of degree ≤ 2 , $\phi(x) > 0$ on (A, B) and $\phi(A) = 0$, $\phi(B) = 0$ if $A, B \neq \pm \infty$. Such exponential-type operators were first introduced by May (cf. [9]).

$L_n(f; x)$ is a positive operator since $W(n, x, u) \geq 0$. By (1) and (2) we have

$$\int_A^B W(n, x, u)u \, du = x \quad \text{for } x \in D.$$

Hence $L_n(f; x)$ preserves linear functions.

Let us write

$$A_m(n, x) = n^m \int_A^B W(n, x, u)(u - x)^m \, du.$$

Using (2), we have (see [9])

$$A_{m+1}(n, x) = nm\phi(x)A_{m-1}(n, x) + \phi(x)\frac{d}{dx}A_m(n, x)$$

and by simple calculations,

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(n, x) &= 1, & A_1(n, x) &= 0, \\ A_2(n, x) &= n\phi(x), & A_3(n, x) &= n\phi(x)\phi'(x), \\ A_4(n, x) &= 3n^2\phi(x)^2 + n\phi(x)[\phi'(x)^2 + \phi(x)\phi''(x)]. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Many authors have considered global approximation theorems for specific exponential-type operators (cf. [3, 6], etc.). In this paper we try to generalize these theorems using an elementary method. Under some conditions upon $\phi(x)$ we prove a theorem for $L_n(f; x)$ which includes results for Bernstein polynomials and for Gauss–Weierstrass, Szász–Mirakjan, Baskakov and other operators.

We impose on $\phi(x)$ the condition that it is a polynomial of degree ≤ 2 without a double zero and satisfies

$$I := \frac{n^2}{\phi(x)^2} \int_\alpha^\beta \left[\frac{\phi'(x)}{n} - u + x \right] (u - x)^3 W(n, x, u) \, du \leq M, \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha = \min(x, x + \phi'(x)/n)$ and $\beta = \max(x, x + \phi'(x)/n)$. Throughout the paper let M be an absolute constant independent of n and x . Then we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\phi(x)$ satisfy the above condition. Then for $0 < \alpha < 2$ the following statements are equivalent:*

$$(I) \quad f \in \text{Lip}_2 \alpha,$$

$$(II) \quad |L_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq M \left[\frac{\phi(x)}{n} \right]^{\alpha/2} \quad (n \geq 1, x \in D).$$

We denote this result by the notation

$$\text{G.App.}[L_n] = \{f \mid f \in \text{Lip}_2 \alpha, n^{-\alpha/2}, \phi(x), D\}.$$

The method for proving the direct part (I) \Rightarrow (II) of this theorem is the standard procedure using a Jackson-type inequality, the Steklov means and appropriate estimates of the moments of the operators. For proving the inverse part (II) \Rightarrow (I) we use the elementary method which was introduced by Berens and Lorentz [5] and was further developed in [2] and [4]. Since the elementary method fails for the saturation case $\alpha = 2$ in the inverse part, we only consider the nonoptimal case $0 < \alpha < 2$.

2. PROOF OF THE DIRECT PART

In this section we prove the direct part of Theorem 1.

Let us introduce $F(x)$ by the continuous extension of $f(x)$ onto $(-\infty, \infty)$

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= f(x), & A \leq x \leq B, \\ &= f(2A - x), & 2A - B \leq x \leq A, \end{aligned}$$

where $F(x)$ is $2(B - A)$ periodic when A and B are finite (see [10, p. 122]). Then for the Steklov means

$$f_h(x) = \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} F(x + s + t) ds dt \quad (h > 0)$$

we have the following estimates (cf. [5, 10])

$$\|f - f_h\| \leq \frac{5}{2} \omega_2(f; h), \quad \|f_h''\| \leq \frac{5}{h^2} \omega_2(f; h). \quad (5)$$

Now let us write

$$C^2[A, B] = \{f \in C[A, B]; f'' \in C[A, B]\},$$

then we have the following inequality.

PROPOSITION (Jackson-type inequality). For $g \in C^2[A, B]$ we have

$$|L_n(g; x) - g(x)| \leq M \|g''\| \frac{\phi(x)}{n} \quad (n \geq 1, x \in D).$$

Proof. In view of

$$g(t) - g(x) = (t - x) g'(x) + \int_x^t \int_x^s g''(u) du ds,$$

there follows

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(g; x) - g(x) &= L_n(g(t) - g(x); x) \\ &= L_n \left((t - x) g'(x) + \int_x^t \int_x^s g''(u) du ds; x \right) \\ &= L_n \left(\int_x^t \int_x^s g''(u) du ds; x \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the positivity of $L_n(f; x)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n(g; x) - g(x)| &\leq L_n \left(\left| \int_x^t \int_x^s g''(u) du ds \right|; x \right) \\ &\leq L_n \left(\|g''\| \int_x^t \int_x^s du ds; x \right) = \|g''\| L_n \left(\frac{(t - x)^2}{2}; x \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof is completed by (3).

Now we verify the direct part (I) \Rightarrow (II). Since $f \in C[A, B]$ is approximated by $\{e^{kx}\}$ and $L_n(e^{ku}; x) = e^{kx}$ holds for $x = A, B$ ($A, B \neq \pm \infty$) by the recursion relation of $A_m(n, x)$, we have $L_n(f; x) = f(x)$ ($x = A, B$) easily. Therefore we consider the proof for $x \in (A, B)$. Since $L_n(f; x)$ is a bounded operator, we have for $h \leq \sqrt{\phi(x)}$ by the Proposition

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq |L_n(f - f_h; x)| + |L_n(f_h; x) - f_h(x)| \\ &\quad + |f_h(x) - f(x)| \\ &\leq M \|f - f_h\| + M \|f_h''\| \frac{\phi(x)}{n} + \|f_h - f\|. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5), we obtain

$$|L_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq M \omega_2(f; h) [1 + \phi(x)/nh^2].$$

By the assumption $f \in \text{Lip}_2 \alpha$, setting $h = \sqrt{\phi(x)/n}$, we have

$$|L_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq M \omega_2 \left(f; \left[\frac{\phi(x)}{n} \right]^{1/2} \right) \leq M \left[\frac{\phi(x)}{n} \right]^{\alpha/2}.$$

Remark 1. Obviously, the direct part holds without condition (4).

3. PROOF OF THE INVERSE PART

In order to prove the inverse part, by the idea of Berens and Lorentz [5], it is sufficient to show that for $f \in C[A, B]$ and $0 < \alpha < 2$

$$\omega_2(f; h) \leq M \left[\delta^\alpha + \left(\frac{h}{\delta} \right)^2 \omega_2(f; \delta) \right], \quad (6)$$

where $0 < h < \min((B-A)/4, 1)$ and $0 < \delta < \min(\sup_x \sqrt{\phi(x)}, 1)$. To obtain (6), we need the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let $\phi(x)$ satisfy condition (4). For $g \in C^2[A, B]$ there holds

$$|[L_n(g; x)]''| \leq M \|g''\| \quad (n \geq 1, x \in (A, B)).$$

Proof. By Taylor expansion of g , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} L_n(g; x) &= \int_A^B \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} W(n, x, u) \right] g(u) du \\ &= \int_A^B \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} W(n, x, u) \right] \\ &\quad \times \{ g(x) + (u-x) g'(x) + (u-x)^2 g''(\xi) \} du \\ &= g(x) \int_A^B \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} W(n, x, u) \right] du \\ &\quad + g'(x) \int_A^B \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} W(n, x, u) \right] (u-x) du \\ &\quad + \int_A^B \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} W(n, x, u) \right] (u-x)^2 g''(\xi) du. \end{aligned}$$

Since from (3)

$$\int_A^B \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} W(n, x, u) \right] (u-x)^i du = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1,$$

we have

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} L_n(g; x) = \int_A^B \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} W(n, x, u) \right] (u-x)^2 g''(\xi) du,$$

and hence

$$\left| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} L_n(g; x) \right| \leq \|g''\| \int_A^B \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} W(n, x, u) \right| (u-x)^2 du.$$

Thus it is sufficient to show that

$$J := \int_A^B \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} W(n, x, u) \right| (u-x)^2 du \leq M. \tag{7}$$

In view of (3) and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} W(n, x, u) &= \frac{n}{\phi(x)} \left[-\frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)} (u-x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{n}{\phi(x)} (u-x)^2 - 1 \right] W(n, x, u), \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \int_A^B \frac{n}{\phi(x)} \left| -\frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)} (u-x) + \frac{n}{\phi(x)} (u-x)^2 - 1 \right| \\ &\quad \times (u-x)^2 W(n, x, u) du \\ &\leq \frac{n}{\phi(x)} \int_A^B \left| -\frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)} (u-x) + \frac{n}{\phi(x)} (u-x)^2 \right| \\ &\quad \times (u-x)^2 W(n, x, u) du + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$-\frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)} (u-x) + \frac{n}{\phi(x)} (u-x)^2 = \frac{n}{\phi(x)} (u-x) \left(u-x - \frac{\phi'(x)}{n} \right),$$

taking $\alpha = \min(x, x + \phi'(x)/n)$ and $\beta = \max(x, x + \phi'(x)/n)$, and by (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} J &\leq \frac{n}{\phi(x)} \int_A^\alpha \left[-\frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)} (u-x)^3 + \frac{n}{\phi(x)} (u-x)^4 \right] W(n, x, u) du \\ &\quad + \frac{n}{\phi(x)} \int_\alpha^\beta \left[\frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)} (u-x)^3 - \frac{n}{\phi(x)} (u-x)^4 \right] W(n, x, u) du \\ &\quad + \frac{n}{\phi(x)} \int_\beta^B \left[-\frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)} (u-x)^3 + \frac{n}{\phi(x)} (u-x)^4 \right] W(n, x, u) du + 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{n^2}{\phi(x)^2} \int_A^B W(n, x, u)(u-x)^4 du - \frac{n\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)^2} \int_A^B W(n, x, u)(u-x)^3 du \\
&\quad + \frac{2n^2}{\phi(x)^2} \int_\alpha^\beta \left[\frac{\phi'(x)}{n} (u-x)^3 - (u-x)^4 \right] W(n, x, u) du + 1 \\
&= 4 + \frac{\phi''(x)}{n} + 2I.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by (4) we obtain (7). This completes the proof.

Remark 2. By (3) we have the following estimation

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\leq \frac{n^2}{\phi(x)^2} \int_\alpha^\beta \frac{\phi'(x)^2}{n^2} (u-x)^2 W(n, x, u) du \\
&\quad + \frac{n^2}{\phi(x)^2} \int_\alpha^\beta (u-x)^4 W(n, x, u) du \\
&\leq \frac{\phi'(x)^2}{\phi(x)^2} \int_A^B W(n, x, u)(u-x)^2 du \\
&\quad + \frac{n^2}{\phi(x)^2} \int_A^B W(n, x, u)(u-x)^4 du \\
&= \frac{2\phi'(x)^2}{n\phi(x)} + 3 + \frac{\phi''(x)}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (4) holds for those x such that (see also Section 4).

$$[\phi'(x)]^2 \leq Mn\phi(x). \quad (9)$$

LEMMA 2. Let $\phi(x)$ satisfy condition (4). Then we have for $x \in D_h$ ($D_h = [A+h, B-h] \cap (-\infty, \infty)$)

$$\int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \frac{ds dt}{\phi(x+s+t)} \leq \frac{Mh^2}{m(x, h)}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$m(x, h) = \max\{\phi(x-h), \phi(x), \phi(x+h)\}$$

and

$$0 < h < \min\{(B-A)/4, 1\}.$$

Proof. Ismail and May [8] have shown that the family of exponential-type operators essentially consists of 6 different types of operators according to $\phi(x) \in \{1, x, x(1-x), x(1+x), x^2, x^2+1\}$. In view of the conditions on $\phi(x)$, we only prove (10) for the five normalized cases $\{\phi(x)\} = \{1, x, x(1-x), x(1+x), x^2+1\}$. The case $\phi(x) = 1$ is trivial. For $\phi(x) = x(1-x)$

see [4]. For $\phi(x) = x, x(1+x)$ see [2, p. 138]. Thus there remains the case $\phi(x) = x^2 + 1$.

In this case we have for $x \in [0, h]$

$$\int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \frac{ds dt}{\phi(x+s+t)} \leq h^2 \leq \frac{Mh^2}{(x+h)^2 + 1} = \frac{Mh^2}{m(x, h)}.$$

Since $4hx \leq M(x-h)^2 + M$ for $M \geq 5$ and $0 < h < 1$, we obtain the following estimate for $x \in [h, \infty)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \frac{ds dt}{\phi(x+s+t)} \\ & \leq \frac{h^2}{\phi(x-h)} = \left[1 + \frac{4hx}{(x-h)^2 + 1} \right] \frac{h^2}{(x+h)^2 + 1} \leq \frac{(1+M)h^2}{m(x, h)}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously we get the inequality (10) for $x \in (-\infty, 0]$. Hence the proof of Lemma 2 is complete.

Remark 3. Since the assertion of Lemma 2 does not hold for $\phi(x) = x^2$, we exclude $\phi(x) = x^2$, as the elementary method of proof fails just in this case (cf. [1]).

And now, we prove the inverse part (II) \Rightarrow (I). By the assumption (II) we have for $x \in D_h$

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_h f(x)| &= |f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h)| \\ &\leq |f(x+h) - L_n(f; x+h)| + 2|f(x) - L_n(f; x)| \\ &\quad + |f(x-h) - L_n(f; x-h)| \\ &\quad + |L_n(f; x+h) - 2L_n(f; x) + L_n(f; x-h)| \\ &\leq M \left\{ \left[\frac{\phi(x+h)}{n} \right]^{\alpha/2} + 2 \left[\frac{\phi(x)}{n} \right]^{\alpha/2} + \left[\frac{\phi(x-h)}{n} \right]^{\alpha/2} \right\} \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} [L_n(f; x+s+t)]'' ds dt \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have to estimate for $0 < \delta < \min(\sup_x \sqrt{\phi(x)}, 1)$

$$[L_n(f; x)]'' = [L_n(f - f_\delta; x)]'' + [L_n(f_\delta; x)]''. \tag{11}$$

Using (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |[L_n(f - f_\delta; x)]''| \\ & \leq \frac{n}{\phi(x)} \|f - f_\delta\| \int_A^B \left| -\frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)}(u-x) + \frac{n}{\phi(x)}(u-x)^2 - 1 \right| W(n, x, u) du \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{n}{\phi(x)} \|f - f_\delta\| \left[\frac{|\phi'(x)|}{\phi(x)} \int_A^B W(n, x, u) |u - x| du + \frac{n}{\phi(x)} \int_A^B W(n, x, u) (u - x)^2 du + \int_A^B W(n, x, u) du \right],$$

and by (3)

$$\begin{aligned} & |L_n(f - f_\delta; x)|^n \\ & \leq \frac{n}{\phi(x)} \|f - f_\delta\| \left\{ \frac{|\phi'(x)|}{\phi(x)} \int_A^B W(n, x, u) |u - x| du + 2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

To estimate (12), we prove the following inequality

$$\frac{|\phi'(x)|}{\phi(x)} \int_A^B W(n, x, u) |u - x| du \leq M. \quad (13)$$

When $\phi(x)$ is constant, (13) is evident. Next we consider the case that $\phi(x)$ has zero points. From $L_n((t - x); x) = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_A^B W(n, x, u) |u - x| du \\ & = \int_A^x W(n, x, u)(x - u) du + \int_x^B W(n, x, u)(u - x) du \\ & = 2 \int_A^x W(n, x, u)(x - u) du \leq 2(x - A), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\int_A^B W(n, x, u) |u - x| du \leq 2(B - x).$$

Since A and B are zero points of $\phi(x)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|\phi'(x)|}{\phi(x)} \int_A^B W(n, x, u) |u - x| du \\ & \leq \frac{|\phi'(x)|}{\phi(x)} \min(2(x - A), 2(B - x)) \leq M. \end{aligned}$$

For the remaining case that a quadratic polynomial $\phi(x)$ has no zero points, we have (cf. Section 4)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|\phi'(x)|}{\phi(x)} \int_A^B W(n, x, u) |u - x| du \\ & \leq \frac{|\phi'(x)|}{\phi(x)} \left\{ \int_A^B W(n, x, u) (u - x)^2 du \right\}^{1/2} \\ & = \frac{|\phi'(x)|}{\phi(x)} \left\{ \frac{\phi(x)}{n} \right\}^{1/2} = \frac{|\phi'(x)|}{\sqrt{n\phi(x)}} \leq M. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (13) and (5) we have

$$|[L_n(f - f_\delta; x)]''| \leq M \frac{n}{\phi(x)} \|f - f_\delta\| \leq M \frac{n}{\phi(x)} \omega_2(f; \delta). \quad (14)$$

By the boundedness of $L_n(f; x)$ and Lemma 1, we obtain the estimation of the second term of (11)

$$|[L_n(f_\delta; x)]''| \leq M \|f''_\delta\| \leq M \frac{1}{\delta^2} \omega_2(f; \delta). \quad (15)$$

Combining (14) and (15), we have

$$|[L_n(f; x)]''| \leq M \omega_2(f; \delta) \left[\frac{n}{\phi(x)} + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \right].$$

Then, by $m(x, h) = \max\{\phi(x - h), \phi(x), \phi(x + h)\}$ and Lemma 2, we obtain for $x \in D_h$

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_h f(x)| & \leq M \left\{ \left[\frac{\phi(x + h)}{n} \right]^{\alpha/2} + 2 \left[\frac{\phi(x)}{n} \right]^{\alpha/2} + \left[\frac{\phi(x - h)}{n} \right]^{\alpha/2} \right\} \\ & \quad + M \omega_2(f; \delta) \left[n \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \frac{ds dt}{\phi(x + s + t)} + \left(\frac{h}{\delta} \right)^2 \right] \\ & \leq M \left\{ \left[\frac{m(x, h)}{n} \right]^{\alpha/2} + \omega_2(f; \delta) \left[\frac{nh^2}{m(x, h)} + \left(\frac{h}{\delta} \right)^2 \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing n such that

$$\sqrt{\frac{m(x, h)}{n}} \leq \delta < \sqrt{\frac{m(x, h)}{n - 1}} \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{m(x, h)}{n}},$$

we have

$$|\Delta_h f(x)| \leq M \left[\delta^\alpha + \omega_2(f; \delta) \left(\frac{h}{\delta} \right)^2 \right].$$

Hence we obtain (6) and by induction

$$\omega_2(f; h) \leq Mh^\alpha,$$

which implies $f \in \text{Lip}_2 \alpha$. This completes the proof of the inverse part.

4. APPLICATIONS

We apply Theorem 1 to the five normalized types of operators for $\{\phi(x)\} = \{1, x, x(1-x), x(1+x), x^2+1\}$. Especially for these $\phi(x)$ we consider condition (4). Since $\phi(x) = 1$ and x^2+1 satisfy (9) for all $x \in D$, condition (4) holds for $\phi(x) = 1$ and x^2+1 by Remark 2. For the remaining cases $\phi(x) = x, x(1-x), x(1+x)$ condition (9) holds whenever $\phi(x) \geq \alpha/n$ for some (small) $\alpha > 0$. Hence condition (4) needs only to be tested for three cases of $\phi(x)$ in a small neighborhood of the zero points of $\phi(x)$.

In these three cases the operator $L_n(f; x)$ may be represented as a sum

$$L_n(f; x) = \sum_{k/n \in D} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) p_{k,n}(x) \quad (n \geq 1, D = [A, B] \cap (-\infty, \infty)).$$

Then condition (4) becomes

$$I_k := n^2 \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^3 \left(x - \frac{k-1}{n}\right) p_{k,n}(x) \phi(x)^{-2} \leq M$$

for $x \leq k/n \leq x + 1/n$ and needs only to be tested for $k = 0, 1$ (concerning a neighborhood of $x = 1$ in the case $\phi(x) = x(1-x)$, we may use analogous arguments). We have for $0 \leq x \leq 1/n$

$$I_0 \leq n^2 x^3 \left(x + \frac{1}{n}\right) p_{0,n}(x) \phi(x)^{-2} \leq 2 \left(\frac{x}{\phi(x)}\right)^2 p_{0,n}(x),$$

$$I_1 = n^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} - x\right)^3 x p_{1,n}(x) \phi(x)^{-2} \leq \frac{x p_{1,n}(x)}{n \phi^2(x)}.$$

Thus (4) follows from

$$\max_{0 \leq x \leq 1/n} \left\{ \frac{x^2 p_{0,n}(x)}{\phi^2(x)}, \frac{x p_{1,n}(x)}{n \phi^2(x)} \right\} \leq M. \quad (16)$$

Therefore instead of (4) we shall check (16) for the three cases $\phi(x) = x, x(1-x), x(1+x)$.

4.1. *Gauss–Weierstrass Operators*

We define the Gauss–Weierstrass operator $G_n(f; x)$ as follows.

$$G_n(f; x) = \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ -\frac{n(u-x)^2}{2} \right\} f(u) du \quad (x \in (-\infty, \infty)).$$

Then the kernel of this operator is

$$W(n, x, u) = \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{n(u-x)^2}{2} \right\}.$$

From Remark 2 and the above we have the corresponding theorem for $G_n(f; x)$.

THEOREM 2.

$$\text{G.App.}[G_n] = [\{f \mid f \in \text{Lip}_2 \alpha\}, n^{-\alpha/2}, 1, (-\infty, \infty)].$$

4.2. *Szász–Mirakjan Operators*

The Szász–Mirakjan operator $S_n(f; x)$ is defined as follows.

$$S_n(f; x) = e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \quad (x \in [0, \infty)),$$

$$W(n, x, u) = e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} \delta\left(u - \frac{k}{n}\right).$$

THEOREM 3. (Becker [3]).

$$\text{G.App.}[S_n] = [\{f \mid f \in \text{Lip}_2 \alpha\}, n^{-\alpha/2}, x, [0, \infty)].$$

Proof. In view of the above we only test that $\phi(x) = x$ and $p_{k,n}(x) = (nx)^k/k!$ satisfy (16) for $0 \leq x \leq 1/n$. From $p_{0,n}(x) = 1$ and $p_{1,n}(x) = nx$, we obtain inequality (16) immediately.

4.3. *Bernstein Polynomials.*

The Bernstein polynomials are defined by

$$B_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \quad (x \in [0, 1]),$$

$$W(n, x, u) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \delta\left(u - \frac{k}{n}\right).$$

Then we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 4. (Berens and Lorentz [5]).

$$\text{G.App.}[B_n] = [\{f \mid f \in \text{Lip}_2 \alpha\}, n^{-\alpha/2}, x(1-x), [0, 1]].$$

Proof. Since $\phi(x) = x(1-x)$ and $p_{k,n}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k(1-x)^{n-k}$, we have

$$p_{0,n}(x) = (1-x)^n, \quad p_{1,n}(x) = nx(1-x)^{n-1},$$

and therefore

$$\frac{x^2}{\phi^2(x)} p_{0,n}(x) = (1-x)^{n-2}, \quad \frac{x}{n\phi^2(x)} p_{1,n}(x) = (1-x)^{n-3}.$$

Thus (16) holds clearly for $0 \leq x \leq 1/n$. This completes the proof.

4.4. Baskakov Operators

The Baskakov operator $V_n(f; x)$ is defined as follows.

$$V_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+k-1}{k} x^k(1+x)^{-n-k} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \quad (x \in [0, \infty)),$$

$$W(n, x, u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+k-1}{k} x^k(1+x)^{-n-k} \delta\left(u - \frac{k}{n}\right).$$

Similarly, we obtain the corresponding theorem for $V_n(f; x)$.

THEOREM 5 (Becker [3]).

$$\text{G.App.}[V_n] = [\{f \mid f \in \text{Lip}_2 \alpha\}, n^{-\alpha/2}, x(1+x), [0, \infty)].$$

Proof. For $\phi(x) = x(1+x)$ and $p_{k,n}(x) = \binom{n+k-1}{k} x^k(1+x)^{-n-k}$ we have

$$p_{0,n}(x) = (1+x)^{-n}, \quad p_{1,n}(x) = nx(1+x)^{-n-1},$$

and thus

$$\frac{x^2}{\phi^2(x)} p_{0,n}(x) = (1+x)^{-n-2}, \quad \frac{x}{n\phi^2(x)} p_{1,n}(x) = (1+x)^{-n-3}.$$

Therefore (16) is valid for $0 \leq x \leq 1/n$.

4.5. Operators by Ismail and May [8]

The operator $T_n(f; x)$ introduced by Ismail and May is

$$T_n(f; x) = \frac{2^{n-2}n}{\pi\Gamma(n)} (1+x^2)^{-n/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(nu \arctan x) \\ \times \left| \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + i\frac{nu}{2}\right) \right|^2 f(u) du, \\ W(n, x, u) = \frac{2^{n-2}n}{\pi\Gamma(n)} (1+x^2)^{-n/2} \exp(nu \arctan x) \\ \times \left| \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + i\frac{nu}{2}\right) \right|^2 \quad (x \in (-\infty, \infty)).$$

Since $\phi(x) = x^2 + 1$ satisfies (9) in Remark 2, we have the following theorem for $T_n(f; x)$.

THEOREM 6.

$$G.App.[T_n] = [\{f \mid f \in Lip_2 \alpha\}, n^{-\alpha/2}, x^2 + 1, (-\infty, \infty)].$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to express his sincere thanks to Prof. Y. Suzuki for his valuable suggestions and comments. Moreover the author wishes to record his sincere gratitude to Dr. M. Becker for his helpful suggestions, and for his critical reading of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. M. BECKER AND R. J. NESSEL, A global approximation theorem for Meyer-König and Zeller operators, *Math. Z.* **160** (1978), 195-206.
2. M. BECKER AND R. J. NESSEL, An elementary approach to inverse approximation theorems, *J. Approximation Theory* **23** (1978), 99-103.
3. M. BECKER, Global approximation theorems for Szász-Mirakjan and Baskakov operators in polynomial weight spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **27** (1978), 127-142.
4. M. BECKER, An elementary proof of the inverse theorem for Bernstein polynomials, *Aequationes Math.* **19** (1979), 145-150.
5. H. BERENS AND G. G. LORENTZ, Inverse theorems for Bernstein polynomials, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **21** (1972), 693-708.
6. P. L. BUTZER, Linear combinations of Bernstein polynomials, *Canad. J. Math.* **5** (1953), 559-567.
7. P. L. BUTZER AND R. J. NESSEL, "Fourier Analysis and Approximation," Vol. I. Birkhäuser, Basel and Academic Press, New York, 1971.

8. M. E. H. ISMAIL AND C. P. MAY, On a family of approximation operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **63** (1978), 446–462.
9. C. P. MAY, Saturation and inverse theorems for combinations of a class of exponential-type operators, *Canad. J. Math.* **28** (1976), 1224–1250.
10. A. F. TIMAN, “Theory of Approximation of Functions of a Real Variable,” English translation, Pergamon, Oxford, 1963.